

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mark Johnson,

No. C 09-5503 JSW (JL)

Plaintiff,

v.

DISCOVERY ORDER

City and County of San Francisco, et al.,

Defendants.

All discovery has been referred by the district court (Hon. Jeffrey S. White) under 28 U.S.C. §636(b). The Court received the parties' separate statements regarding a discovery dispute over Plaintiff's notices of depositions and associated production of documents, and Plaintiffs' late-propounded interrogatories. The Court finds the matter to be appropriate for decision without oral argument under Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), especially given the imminence of the discovery cut-off on April 22nd. The Court carefully reviewed the parties' letters and concludes that Plaintiffs are not entitled to the relief they seek: to take depositions without consulting defense counsel regarding availability of witnesses and counsel; to notice depositions of out of state witnesses who are neither parties nor employees of Defendant City; to propound document requests without the requisite notice; to propound interrogatories without requisite notice, or to notice depositions after the discovery cut-off.

1 Accordingly, Plaintiffs' request for relief, as articulated in their letter brief at Docket
2 Number 74, is denied.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: April 8, 2011



JAMES LARSON
United States Magistrate Judge

5
6
7
8
9 C-09-5503 DISCOVERY ORDER
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28